

SYMMETRIES IN GENERALIZED KÄHLER GEOMETRY

YI LIN, SUSAN TOLMAN

ABSTRACT. We define the notion of a moment map and reduction in both generalized complex geometry and generalized Kähler geometry. As an application, we give very simple explicit constructions of bi-Hermitian structures on $\mathbb{CP}^n$, Hirzebruch surfaces, the blow up of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ at arbitrarily many points, and other toric varieties, as well as complex Grassmannians.

1. INTRODUCTION

Generalized complex structures were introduced by N. Hitchin [H02], and further developed by Gualtieri [Gua04]. It contains both symplectic and complex structures as extremal special cases, and provides a useful differential geometric context for understanding some recent development in string theory. An associated notion of generalized Kähler structure was introduced by Gualtieri [Gua04], who shows that this notion is essentially equivalent to that of a bi-Hermitian structure, which was first discovered by physicists (see [GHR84]) studying super-symmetric nonlinear σ -models.

The theory of bi-Hermitian geometry suffered from a lack of interesting examples. As stated in [AGG99] (see also [AGG05]), an important open problem in this field was to determine whether or not there exist bi-Hermitian structures on $\mathbb{CP}^2$, a minimal ruled surface admitting an effective anti-canonical divisor, or a complex surface obtained from them by blowing up points along an effective anti-canonical divisor.

Using the deformation theorem he developed for generalized complex structure, Gualtieri proved [Gua04] that there exists a bi-Hermitian structure on $\mathbb{CP}^2$. More recently, Hitchin [H05] used the generalized Kähler geometric approach to give an explicit construction of a bi-Hermitian structure on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and also on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$.

For manifolds with symmetries, the related notions of moment maps and quotient are important in many geometries. It is an interesting question if there exist natural notions of moment maps and quotients in generalized complex and Kähler geometries. Some attempts have been made in this direction. In [Cr04], Cranic proposed a definition of moment maps in generalized complex geometry. But it appears that the condition to make his definition work is rather restrictive. In [H05] Hitchin also presented a quotient

construction in generalized Kähler geometry which works for certain interesting special cases. More recently, we have discovered that several other groups are independently working on related projects, including: Bursztyn, Cavalcanti, and Gualtieri; and Xu Ping.

In this paper, we define the notions of generalized moment map for a compact Lie group action on a generalized complex manifold. Using this definition we then define a generalized complex structure on the reduced space, which is natural up to transformation by an exact B-field, that is, the reduced space has a natural equivalence class of generalized complex structures in the sense specified in [H02]. Moreover, we show that the quotient structure has the same type as the original generalized complex structure. In the case that the generalized complex structure is derived from a symplectic structure, our definitions agree with the standard definitions of moment map and symplectic reduction. Compared with the definition of moment maps given in [Cr04], our approach works in greater generality.

We then consider the compact Lie group action on a generalized Kähler manifolds; in this case, the generalized moment map is simply the generalized moment map for the first generalized complex structure. Finally, we define a natural generalized Kähler structure on the reduced space, and give formulas for the types of this structure. Again, in the case that the generalized Kähler structure is derived from a Kähler structure, this agrees with the usual Kähler reduction.

As an application, we give a very simple explicit construction of bi-Hermitian structures on $\mathbb{CP}^n$, Hirzebruch surfaces, the blow up of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ at arbitrarily many points, and other toric varieties, as well as complex Grassmannians. As shown in this paper, in practice our method will give one a powerful machinery of producing bi-Hermitian structures on any manifold which can be produced as the symplectic quotient of $\mathbb{C}^N$.

The plan of this paper is as follows.

Section 2 shows that under reasonable assumptions the Courant bracket is preserved under restriction and quotient.

Section 3 defines generalized moment map for a compact Lie group acting on a generalized complex manifold, and constructs a generalized complex structure on the reduced space at every regular value.

Section 4 extends the results of Section 3 to the generalized Kähler case. We also discuss the connection between the hyper-Kähler quotient and the generalized Kähler quotient.

Section 5 presents the explicit constructions of bi-Hermitian structures.

2. THE COURANT BRACKET

Let V be a n dimensional vector space. There is a natural metric of type (n, n) on $V \oplus V^*$ given by

$$\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha(Y) + \beta(Y)).$$

Given a subspace $F \subset V \oplus V^*$, let $F^\perp \subset V \oplus V^*$ denote the perpendicular with respect to this metric. In contrast, if $F \subset V$ (or V^*), let $F^0 \subset V^*$ (or V) denote the annihilator of F . Moreover, let $\pi : V_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus V_{\mathbb{C}}^* \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the natural projection.

Let M be a n dimensional manifold. There is a natural metric of type (n, n) on $TM \oplus T^*M$ given by

$$\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha(Y) + \beta(X)),$$

which extends naturally to $T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M = (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Given a subbundle $F \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ (or $T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$), let $F^\perp \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ (or $T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$) denote the perpendicular of F with respect to the above metric. Moreover, let $\pi : T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ denote the natural projection.

The **Courant bracket** on $T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ is defined by

$$[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] = [X, Y] + L_X\beta - L_Y\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(d\iota_X\beta - d\iota_Y\alpha).$$

We will now examine how the Courant bracket behaves under restriction and quotient.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a manifold and let $\mathfrak{g}^*$ be the dual of a vector space $\mathfrak{g}$. Given a submersion $f: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, let $df \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ denote the subbundle spanned by the differentials df^ξ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $df_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp$ is closed under the Courant bracket.*

*Moreover, the restriction from M to $f^{-1}(0)$ induces a natural map from $df_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ to $T_{\mathbb{C}}(f^{-1}(0)) \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*(f^{-1}(0))$. If Γ is a sub-bundle of $T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ which is closed under the Courant bracket, then the image of $\Gamma \cap df_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp$ under this map is also closed under the Courant bracket.*

Proof. Let $X + \alpha$ and $Y + \beta$ be sections of $df_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp$. Given any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, by assumption $\iota_X df^\xi = \iota_Y df^\xi = 0$. Hence by Cartan's Formula

$$\iota_{[X,Y]} df^\xi = L_X \iota_Y df^\xi - L_Y \iota_X df^\xi + \iota_X \iota_Y ddf^\xi - d\iota_X \iota_Y df^\xi = 0.$$

Therefore, $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] \in df_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp$. This proves the first claim.

Finally, if Γ is closed under the Courant bracket, then $\Gamma \cap df_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp$ is also closed. Since a straightforward check of the definition shows that map induced by restriction preserves the Courant bracket, the second claim is obvious. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let a compact Lie group G act freely on a manifold M , and let $\mathfrak{g}_M \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ denote the subbundle spanned by the fundamental vector fields ξ_M for ξ is in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G . Then the set of G -invariant sections of $(\mathfrak{g}_M)_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp$ is also closed under the Courant bracket.*

*Moreover, the quotient map from M to M/G induces a natural map from the set of G -invariant sections of $(\mathfrak{g}_M)_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ to the section of $T_{\mathbb{C}}(M/G) \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*(M/G)$. Let Γ be an G -invariant sub-bundle of $T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ which is closed under the Courant bracket. Then the image of $\Gamma \cap (\mathfrak{g}_M)_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp$ under this map is also closed under the Courant bracket.*

Proof. Let $X + \alpha$ and $Y + \beta$ be G -invariant sections of $(\mathfrak{g}_M)_\mathbb{C}^\perp$. Given any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, by assumption $\iota_{\xi_M} \alpha = \iota_{\xi_M} \beta = 0$. Since $X + \alpha$ and $Y + \beta$ are G invariant, $[\xi_M, X] = 0$ and $L_{\xi_M} \iota_X \beta = 0$. Therefore

$$\iota_{\xi_M} L_X \beta = \iota_{[\xi_M, X]} \beta + L_X \iota_{\xi_M} \beta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{\xi_M} d\iota_X \beta = L_{\xi_M} \iota_X \beta + d\iota_X \iota_{\xi_M} \beta = 0.$$

Similarly, $\iota_{\xi_M} L_Y \alpha = \iota_{\xi_M} d\iota_Y \alpha = 0$. Hence, $[X + \alpha, Y + \beta] \in (\mathfrak{g}_M)_\mathbb{C}^\perp$.

Finally, if Γ is closed under the Courant bracket, then $\Gamma \cap (\mathfrak{g}_M)_\mathbb{C}^\perp$ is also closed. Since a straightforward check of the definition shows that the map induced by the quotient map preserves the Courant bracket, the second claim is obvious. $\square$

3. GENERALIZED COMPLEX STRUCTURES

A **generalized complex structure** on a vector space V is an orthogonal linear map $\mathcal{J}: V \oplus V^* \rightarrow V \oplus V^*$ so that $\mathcal{J}^2 = -1$. Given a generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$, let $L \subset V_\mathbb{C} \oplus V_\mathbb{C}^*$ be the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of $\mathcal{J}$. Then L is maximal isotropic and $L \cap \bar{L} = \{0\}$. Conversely, given a maximal isotropic $L \subset V_\mathbb{C} \oplus V_\mathbb{C}^*$ so that $L \cap \bar{L} = \{0\}$, there exists a unique generalized complex structure on V whose $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace is L .

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a generalized complex structure on a vector space V and let $W = V \oplus V^*$. If $P \subset W$ is a $\mathcal{J}$ -invariant subspace, then since $\mathcal{J}$ is orthogonal there is a restriction map $\overline{\mathcal{J}}: P^\perp \rightarrow P^\perp$. If P is also isotropic, let $\widetilde{W} = P^\perp/P$; there is a quotient map $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$. Clearly, $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^2 = -1$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}^2 = -1$ and both maps are orthogonal. Also, if L is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\mathcal{J}$, then $L \cap P_\mathbb{C}^\perp$ is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$, and the image of $L \cap P_\mathbb{C}^\perp$ in $\widetilde{W}_\mathbb{C}$ is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}$. Finally, if $P = (P \cap V) \oplus (P \cap V^*)$, let $\widetilde{V}$ be the quotient of $(P \cap V^*)^0 \subset V$ by $(P \cap V)$; the spaces $\widetilde{W}$ and $\widetilde{V} \oplus \widetilde{V}^*$ are naturally isomorphic. Hence $\mathcal{J}$ naturally induces a generalized complex structure $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}$ on $\widetilde{V}$.

The **type** of $\mathcal{J}$ is the codimension of $\pi(L)$ in $V_\mathbb{C}$, where L is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of $\mathcal{J}$. Recall that $\pi: V_\mathbb{C} \oplus V_\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow V_\mathbb{C}$ is the natural projection.) The following lemma will help us compute types.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a generalized complex structure on a vector space V , and let $L \subset V_\mathbb{C} \oplus V_\mathbb{C}^*$ be its $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace. If a subspace $R \subset V_\mathbb{C} \oplus V_\mathbb{C}^*$ satisfies $\mathcal{J}(R) \cap R = \{0\}$, then*

$$\dim(\pi(L \cap R^\perp \cap \mathcal{J}(R)^\perp)) = \dim(\pi(L + R)) - \dim(R).$$

Proof. Since L is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of $\mathcal{J}$,

$$L \cap R^\perp \cap \mathcal{J}(R)^\perp = L \cap R^\perp.$$

Since $L \cap R^\perp \cap \mathcal{J}(R)^\perp$ is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of the restriction of $\mathcal{J}$ to $R^\perp \cap \mathcal{J}(R)^\perp$ and $\mathcal{J}(R) \cap R = \{0\}$,

$$\dim(L \cap R^\perp \cap \mathcal{J}(R)^\perp) = \dim V - \dim R.$$

Since $V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is the kernel of π ,

$$\dim(\pi(L \cap R^{\perp})) = \dim(L \cap R^{\perp}) - \dim(L \cap R^{\perp} \cap V_{\mathbb{C}}^*).$$

Finally, since L is maximal isotropic, $L = L^{\perp}$, and so

$$L \cap R^{\perp} \cap V_{\mathbb{C}}^* = (L + R)^{\perp} \cap V_{\mathbb{C}}^* = \pi(L + R)^0.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a generalized complex structure on a vector space V . Consider a subspace $Q \subset V$ so that $\mathcal{J}(Q) \subset V^*$ and so that $P = Q \oplus \mathcal{J}(Q) \subset V \oplus V^*$ is isotropic. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ be the natural generalized complex structure on $\tilde{V} = \mathcal{J}(Q)^0/Q$. Then*

$$\text{type}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}) = \text{type}(\mathcal{J}).$$

Proof. Let L and $\tilde{L}$ be the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspaces of $\mathcal{J}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$, respectively; let $\pi: V_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus V_{\mathbb{C}}^* \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{V}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \tilde{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the natural projections.

Since $Q \subset V$ and $\mathcal{J}(Q) \subset V^*$, it is immediately clear that $Q_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \pi(L)$ and $Q \cap \mathcal{J}(Q) = \{0\}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1 $\dim(\pi(L \cap Q_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{J}(Q_{\mathbb{C}})^{\perp})) = \dim(\pi(L)) - \dim(Q)$. Moreover, $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{L})$ is the projection of $\pi(L \cap Q_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{J}(Q_{\mathbb{C}})^{\perp}) \subset \mathcal{J}(Q)_{\mathbb{C}}^0$ to $\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{J}(Q)_{\mathbb{C}}^0/Q_{\mathbb{C}}$, so $\dim(\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{L})) = \dim(\pi(L \cap Q_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{J}(Q_{\mathbb{C}})^{\perp})) - \dim(Q)$. Finally, $\dim(\tilde{V}) = \dim(V) - 2 \dim(Q)$. □

A **generalized almost complex structure** on a manifold M is an orthogonal bundle map $\mathcal{J}: TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM \oplus T^*M$ so that $\mathcal{J}^2 = -1$. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}$ is a **generalized complex structure** if the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\mathcal{J}$, $L \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$, is closed under the Courant bracket. The **type** of $\mathcal{J}$ at $m \in M$ is the type of the restricted generalized complex structure on T_mM .

We now introduce several standard examples, as described in [Gua04].

Example 3.3. ([Gua04])

a) Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. Then

$$\mathcal{J}_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^{-1} \\ -\omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a generalized complex structure of type 0; the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of $\mathcal{J}_{\omega}$ is $L_{\omega} = \{X - \sqrt{-1} \iota_X \omega \mid X \in V_{\mathbb{C}}\}$.

b) Let (M, J) be a $2n$ dimensional complex manifold. Then

$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{pmatrix}$$

is a generalized complex structure of type n ; the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of $\mathcal{J}_J$ is $L_J = T_{0,1} \oplus T_{1,0}^*$, where $T_{1,0}$ is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of J .

c) Let $(M_1, \mathcal{J}_1)$ and $(M_2, \mathcal{J}_2)$ be generalized complex manifolds. Then

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

is a generalized complex structure on $M_1 \times M_2$, and

$$\text{type}(\mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_2)_{(m_1, m_2)} = \text{type}(\mathcal{J}_1)_{m_1} + \text{type}(\mathcal{J}_2)_{m_2}.$$

- d) Let B be a closed two-form on a manifold M , and consider the orthogonal bundle map $TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM \oplus T^*M$ defined by

$$e^B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ B & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where B is regarded as a skew-symmetric map from TM to T^*M . This map preserves the Courant bracket (see [Gua04].) As a simple consequence, if $\mathcal{J}$ is a generalized complex structure on M , then $\mathcal{J}' = e^B \mathcal{J} e^{-B}$ is another generalized complex structure on M , called the **B-transform** of $\mathcal{J}$. Moreover, the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\mathcal{J}'$ is $e^B(L)$, so $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J}'$ have the same type. Finally, we say that $\mathcal{J}'$ is an **exact B-transform** if B is exact.

Definition 3.4. Let a compact Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ act on a manifold M , preserving a generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$. Let $L \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ denote the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\mathcal{J}$. A **generalized moment map** is a smooth function $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ so that

- $\xi_M - \sqrt{-1} d\mu^\xi$ lies in L for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, where ξ_M denotes the induced vector field on M .
- μ is equivariant.

The generalized moment map μ is **real** if $\mu = \bar{\mu}$. The action is **Hamiltonian** if a generalized moment map exists.

We are now ready to compute generalized moment maps for our basic examples in Example 3.3.

Example 3.5.

- a) Let G acts on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) and let $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a moment map, that is Φ is equivariant and $\iota_M \omega = d\Phi^\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then G also preserves $\mathcal{J}_\omega$, and Φ is also a (real) generalized moment map for this action.
- b) If G acts on a complex manifold (M, J) , preserving J , then G also preserves the associated generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_J$. However, the action is never Hamiltonian because $\pi(L_J)$ contains no real vectors.
- c) If G acts on generalized complex manifolds $(M_1, \mathcal{J}_1)$ and $(M_2, \mathcal{J}_2)$ with generalized moment maps μ_1 and μ_2 , then the diagonal action of G on the product manifold $M_1 \times M_2$ preserves the generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_2$, and $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2: M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a generalized moment map for this action.
- d) Let G act on a generalized complex manifold $(M, \mathcal{J})$ with generalized moment map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Let $B \in \Omega^2(M)$ be closed and invariant, and let $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be an equivariant map so that

$\iota_{\xi_M} B = d\Phi^\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then G also preserves the B-transform $\mathcal{J}'$ of $\mathcal{J}$, and $\mu + \sqrt{-1} \Phi$ is a generalized moment map for this action.

When the action is free, we can always perform an exact B-transform so that the generalized moment map is real.

Lemma 3.6. *Let a compact Lie group G act freely on a generalized complex manifold $(M, \mathcal{J})$ with generalized moment map $\mu = f + \sqrt{-1} h: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, where f and h are real. Given a connection $\theta \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$, let $B = d(\theta, h) \in \Omega^2(M; \mathbb{R})$. The B-transform of $\mathcal{J}$ is an invariant generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}'$ with real generalized moment map f . Here, $(\theta, h) \in \Omega^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ is obtained using the natural pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$.*

Proof. Since θ and h are both equivariant, (θ, h) is invariant. Since B closed and invariant, $\mathcal{J}'$ an invariant generalized complex structure. Since θ is a connection and (θ, h) is invariant, $\iota_{\xi_M} B = \iota_{\xi_M} d(\theta, h) = -d(\iota_{\xi_M} \theta, h) = -dh^\xi$. The result now follows as in the example above. $\square$

As shown in the next lemma, we get the second condition in the definition of generalized moment map for free in the case of a torus action.

Lemma 3.7. *Let a compact torus T with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ act on a manifold M , preserving a generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$. Any function $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ which satisfies the first criterion of Definition 3.4 is a generalized moment map.*

Proof. Fix ξ and η in $\mathfrak{t}$. Since L is isotropic,

$$0 = \langle \xi_M - \sqrt{-1} d\mu^\xi, \eta_M - \sqrt{-1} d\mu^\eta \rangle = -\sqrt{-1} (\iota_{\xi_M} d\mu^\eta + \iota_{\eta_M} d\mu^\xi).$$

Since T is abelian, $[\xi_M, \eta_M] = 0$. Since $d\mu$ is closed, $L_{\xi_M} d\mu^\eta = d\iota_{\xi_M} d\mu^\eta$ and $L_{\eta_M} d\mu^\xi = d\iota_{\eta_M} d\mu^\xi$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\xi_M - \sqrt{-1} d\mu^\xi, \eta_M - \sqrt{-1} d\mu^\eta] \\ &= [\xi_M, \eta_M] - \sqrt{-1} L_{\xi_M} d\mu^\eta + \sqrt{-1} L_{\eta_M} d\mu^\xi + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} (d\iota_{\xi_M} d\mu^\eta - d\iota_{\eta_M} d\mu^\xi) \\ &= -\sqrt{-1} d\iota_{\xi_M} d\mu^\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since L is closed under Courant bracket, this implies that $d\iota_{\xi_M} d\mu^\eta \in L$.

Fix any orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of the torus action. Since L is isotropic, the fact that $d\iota_{\xi_M} d\mu^\eta$ lies in L implies that it vanishes on $\mathcal{O}$, and so $\iota_{\xi_M} d\mu^\eta$ is constant on $\mathcal{O}$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is compact, this implies that $\iota_{\xi_M} d\mu^\eta$ vanishes on $\mathcal{O}$. Hence, μ^η is invariant under the subgroup generated by ξ , and so μ is T -invariant. $\square$

Let a compact Lie group G act on a generalized complex manifold $(M, \mathcal{J})$ with generalized moment map $\mu = f + \sqrt{-1} h$, where f and h are real. Let $\mathcal{O}_a$ be the co-adjoint orbit through $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Since f is equivariant, G acts on $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)$. Moreover, if G acts freely on $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)$, then since $\mathcal{J}(df^\xi) = -\xi_M -$

dh^ξ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathcal{O}_\alpha$ consists of regular values of f and the **generalized complex quotient**

$$M_\alpha = f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha)/G$$

is a manifold.

Lemma 3.8. *Let a compact Lie group G act on a generalized complex manifold $(M, \mathcal{J})$ with a real generalized moment map $f: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^*$. Let $\mathcal{O}_\alpha$ be the co-adjoint orbit through $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. If G acts freely on $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha)$, the generalized complex quotient M_α inherits a natural generalized complex structure $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$.*

Moreover, for all $m \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha)$,

$$\text{type}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})_{[m]} = \text{type}(\mathcal{J})_m.$$

Proof. First, assume that $\alpha = 0$.

By restricting to a neighborhood of $f^{-1}(0)$, we may assume that G acts freely, and that hence f is a submersion. By the definition of generalized moment map, $\mathcal{J}(\xi_M) = df^\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, so $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{g}_M) = df$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df$ is a $\mathcal{J}$ -invariant subbundle of $TM \oplus T^*M$. Since G acts on $f^{-1}(0)$, $\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df$ is also isotropic when restricted to $f^{-1}(0)$. As in the discussion preceding Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{J}$ naturally induces a G equivariant orthogonal map with square -1 on the G -invariant vector bundle

$$(\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df)|_{f^{-1}(0)}^\perp / (\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df)|_{f^{-1}(0)}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}: TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0 \rightarrow TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0$ be the induced generalized almost complex structure on M_0 .

Let $L \subset T_\mathbb{C}M \oplus T_\mathbb{C}^*M$ and $\tilde{L} \subset T_\mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus T_\mathbb{C}^*M_0$ be the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundles of $\mathcal{J}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$, respectively. By the definition of generalized complex structure, L is closed under the Courant bracket. By Lemma 2.1, the image of $L \cap df^\perp$ in $T_\mathbb{C}(f^{-1}(0)) \oplus T_\mathbb{C}^*(f^{-1}(0))$ is also closed under the Courant bracket. Since $L \cap df^\perp = L \cap (\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df)^\perp$, by Lemma 2.2 $\tilde{L}$, which is its image in $T_\mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus T_\mathbb{C}^*M_0$, is also closed.

The last statement is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2.

This proves the case $\alpha = 0$.

For $\alpha \neq 0$, let ω be the Kirrilov-Kostant symplectic form on the co-adjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{-\alpha}$ and let $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ be the induced generalized complex structure. Then $(\mathcal{O}_{-\alpha}, \mathcal{J}_\omega)$ is a generalized complex manifold of type 0, and inclusion is a generalized moment map for the co-adjoint G action. Hence, $(M \times \mathcal{O}_{-\alpha}, \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}_\omega)$ is a generalized complex manifold, $\text{type}(\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}_\omega)_{(m,b)} = \text{type}(\mathcal{J})_m$, and $\mu_\alpha(x, v) = \mu(x) - v$ is a generalized moment map for the diagonal action of G on $M \times \mathcal{O}_{-\alpha}$. Since it is easy to see that M_α can be identified with $\mu_\alpha^{-1}(0)/G$, the result follows from the case $\alpha = 0$. $\square$

We now find the generalized complex quotients for our basic examples from Example 3.3 and 3.5.

Example 3.9.

- a) The generalized complex quotient of the Hamiltonian generalized complex manifold associated to a Hamiltonian symplectic manifold is the generalized complex manifold associated to the symplectic quotient.
- b) Since there is no generalized moment map in the complex case, there is no generalized complex quotient.
- c) As in the symplectic case, the generalized complex quotient for the diagonal action on the product of two generalized complex manifolds is not the product of the quotients.
- d) Let a compact Lie group G act on a generalized complex manifold $(M, \mathcal{J})$ with a real generalized moment map $f: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Let $\mathcal{J}'$ be the B -transform of $\mathcal{J}$, where $B \in \Omega^2(M)$ is closed, invariant and satisfies $\iota_{\xi_M} B = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then B descends to a closed two-form $\tilde{B}$ on $M_a = f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)/G$ for any regular $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. The generalized complex structure $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}'$ for the generalized complex quotient of $(M, \mathcal{J}', \mu)$ at a is the $\tilde{B}$ transform of the generalized complex quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ of $(M, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$ at a . Moreover, if $B = d\gamma$, where $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)$ is closed, invariant and satisfies $\iota_{\xi_M} \gamma = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, then γ descends to a one-form $\tilde{\gamma}$ on M_a , so that $d\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{B}$. Hence, in this case, $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}'$ is an exact B -transform of $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$.

Our first main result is now very easy to prove.

Proposition 3.10. *Let a compact Lie group G act on a generalized complex manifold $(M, \mathcal{J})$ with generalized moment map $\mu = f + \sqrt{-1}g: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, where f and g are real. Let $\mathcal{O}_a$ be the co-adjoint orbit through $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. If G acts freely on $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)$, the generalized complex quotient M_a inherits a generalized complex structure $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$, which is natural up to an exact B -transform. Moreover, for all $m \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)$,*

$$\text{type}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})_{[m]} = \text{type}(\mathcal{J})_m.$$

Proof. By restricting to a neighborhood of $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)$, we may assume that G acts freely. Choose a connection $\theta \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$, and let $B = d(h, \theta)$. Then by Lemma 3.6, the B -transform $\mathcal{J}'$ of $\mathcal{J}$ is an invariant generalized complex structure with real moment map f . Hence, by Lemma 3.8, $\mathcal{J}'$ descends to a natural generalized complex structure $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ on M_a .

If we choose a different connection $\hat{\theta} \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ the resulting generalized complex structure $\hat{\mathcal{J}}'$ is the $d\gamma$ transform of $\mathcal{J}'$, where $\gamma = (\hat{\theta}' - \theta, h)$. Since γ is invariant and $\iota_{\xi_M} \gamma = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, the resulting generalized complex quotient will be an exact B -transform of $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$. (See the last part of Example 3.9.) $\square$

4. GENERALIZED KÄHLER STRUCTURE

A **generalized Kähler structure** on a vector space V consists of an ordered pair $(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ of commuting generalized complex structures on V so

that $G = -\mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{J}_2: V \oplus V^* \rightarrow V \oplus V^*$ is a **positive definite metric**, by which we mean that $G^2 = 1$, G is orthogonal, and $\langle G(w), w \rangle > 0$ for all non-zero $w \in V \oplus V^*$. Note that the first two conditions are automatically satisfied.

We will need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *Let V be a vector space and let $W = V \oplus V^*$. Let $G: W \rightarrow W$ be a positive definite metric. Given an isotropic subspace P , define*

$$\widehat{W} = P^\perp \cap G(P)^\perp \subset W \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{W} = P^\perp / P.$$

The natural projection induces an isomorphism

$$(4.1) \quad \widehat{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{W}.$$

Proof. For all non-zero $w \in P$, $\langle w, G(w) \rangle > 0$. Therefore, $G(P)^\perp \cap P = P^\perp \cap G(P) = \{0\}$. Since P is isotropic, this implies that $P \cap G(P) = \{0\}$, and hence $\dim(\widehat{W}) = \dim W - 2 \dim P$. It also implies that the projection above is an injection; the result now follows by a dimension count. $\square$

Let $(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ be a generalized Kähler structure on a vector space V , and let $G = -\mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{J}_2$. If $P \subseteq W = V \oplus V^*$ is any $\mathcal{J}_1$ invariant subspace, then by since $\mathcal{J}_1 G = G \mathcal{J}_1$, $P \oplus G(P)$ is $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ invariant and so we can define the restrictions $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_i$ of $\mathcal{J}_i$ to $\widehat{W} = W \cap P^\perp \cap G(P)^\perp$. Clearly, $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_1$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_2$ are orthogonal and commute, $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_1^2 = \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_2^2 = -1$, and $\widehat{G} = -\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_1\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_2$ is a positive definite metric on $\widehat{W}$. If P is also isotropic, then under the isomorphism (4.1) the $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_i$ induce maps $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_i: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$ satisfying the analogous conditions. Moreover, if L_i is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of $\mathcal{J}_i$, then $L_i \cap \widehat{W}$ is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_i$, and the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_i$ is its image under the isomorphism (4.1). As in the previous section, if $P = (P \cap V) \oplus (P \cap V^*)$ then $(\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_1, \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_2)$ is a natural generalized Kähler structure on $\widetilde{V} = (P \cap V^*)^0 / (P \cap V)$. It is easy to check that $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_1$ is the natural complex structure on $\widetilde{V}$ defined in the beginning of the previous section.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ be a generalized Kähler structure on a vector space V . Consider $Q \subseteq V$ so that $\mathcal{J}_1(Q) \subseteq V^*$ and $P = Q \oplus \mathcal{J}_1(Q) \subset V \oplus V^*$ is isotropic. Let $(\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_1, \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_2)$ be the natural Kähler structure on $\widetilde{V} = \mathcal{J}_1(Q)^0 / Q$. Then*

$$\text{type}(\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_1) = \text{type}(\mathcal{J}_1) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{type}(\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_2) = \text{type}(\mathcal{J}_2) - \dim(Q) + 2 \dim(Q_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \pi(L_2)).$$

Proof. The first claim was proved in Lemma 3.2.

We now turn to the second claim. Let L_2 and $\widetilde{L}_2$ denote the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspaces of $\mathcal{J}_2$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_2$ respectively; let $\pi: V_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus V_{\mathbb{C}}^* \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\widetilde{\pi}: \widetilde{V}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \widetilde{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \rightarrow \widetilde{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the natural projections.

Since P is $\mathcal{J}_1$ invariant, $G(P) = \mathcal{J}_2(P)$, so $\mathcal{J}_2(P) \cap P = \{0\}$. Moreover, by assumption, $\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}) = \pi(Q_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\dim(P) = 2 \dim(Q)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, $\dim(\pi(L_2 \cap P_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp \cap \mathcal{J}_2(P_{\mathbb{C}})^\perp)) = \dim(\pi(L_2 + P_{\mathbb{C}})) - \dim(P) =$

$\dim(\pi(L_2) + Q_{\mathbb{C}}) - 2 \dim(Q) = \dim(\pi(L_2)) - \dim(Q) - \dim(Q_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \pi(L_2))$. Moreover, $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{L}_2)$ is the projection of $\pi(L_2 \cap P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{J}_2(P_{\mathbb{C}})^{\perp}) \subset \mathcal{J}_1(Q_{\mathbb{C}})^0$ to $\tilde{V}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{J}_1(Q_{\mathbb{C}})^0 / Q_{\mathbb{C}}$, which reduces the dimension by a further $\dim(\pi(L_2) \cap Q_{\mathbb{C}})$. Finally, $\dim(\tilde{V}) = \dim(V) - 2 \dim(Q)$. $\square$

A **generalized Kähler structure** on a manifold M is a pair of commuting generalized complex structures $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ on M so that $G = -\mathcal{J}_1 \mathcal{J}_2$ is a positive definite metric on $TM \oplus T^*M$.

Again, we turn to [Gua04] for our basic examples.

Example 4.3. [Gua04]

- a) Let (ω, J) be a **genuine Kähler structure** on a manifold M , that is, a symplectic structure ω and a complex structure J which are **compatible**, which means that $g = -\omega J$ is a Riemannian metric. By Example 3.3, ω and J induce generalized complex structures $\mathcal{J}_{\omega}$ and $\mathcal{J}_J$, respectively. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{J}_J$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\omega}$ commute, and that

$$(4.2) \quad G = -\mathcal{J}_{\omega} \mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g^{-1} \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a positive definite metric on $TM \oplus T^*M$. Hence $(\mathcal{J}_{\omega}, \mathcal{J}_J)$ is a generalized Kähler structure on M .

- b) Let $(M, \mathcal{J}_{M,1}, \mathcal{J}_{M,2})$ and $(N, \mathcal{J}_{N,1}, \mathcal{J}_{N,2})$ be generalized Kähler manifolds, and define

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_{M,1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J}_{N,1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_{M,2} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J}_{N,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $(M \times N, \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ is a generalized Kähler manifold.

Definition 4.4. Let the compact Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ act on a manifold M . A **generalized moment map** for an invariant generalized Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ is a generalized moment map for the generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_1$. (See Definition 3.4.)

As before, let a compact Lie group G act on a generalized Kähler manifold with generalized moment map $\mu = f + \sqrt{-1}h$, where f and h are real. Let $\mathcal{O}_a$ be the coadjoint orbit through $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. If G acts freely on $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)$ then the **generalized Kähler quotient**

$$M_a = f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)/G$$

is a manifold.

Example 4.5.

- a) If a compact Lie group G acts on a Kähler manifold (M, J, ω) with moment map Φ , then Φ is the generalized moment map for the G action on $(M, \mathcal{J}_J, \mathcal{J}_{\omega})$.

- b) If a compact Lie group G acts on two generalized Kähler manifold $(M, \mathcal{J}_1^M, \mathcal{J}_2^M)$ and $(N, \mathcal{J}_1^N, \mathcal{J}_2^N)$ with moment maps μ^M and μ^N , then $\mu^M + \mu^N$ is a generalized moment map for the diagonal G action on $(M \times N, \mathcal{J}_1^M \times \mathcal{J}_1^N, \mathcal{J}_2^M \times \mathcal{J}_2^N)$.

We can now state our second main proposition:

Proposition 4.6. *Let a compact connected Lie group G act on a generalized Kähler manifold $(M, \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ with generalized moment map $\mu = f + \sqrt{-1}h: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Let $\mathcal{O}_a$ be the co-adjoint orbit through $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. If G acts freely on $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)$, then the sub-quotient $M_a = f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)/G$ naturally inherits a generalized Kähler structure $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_2)$.*

Moreover, let $\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebra of the stabilizer K of a , and let L_2 be the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\mathcal{J}_2$. Then for all $m \in M$,

$$\text{type}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1)_{[m]} = \text{type}(\mathcal{J}_1)_m, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{type}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_2)_{[m]} = \text{type}(\mathcal{J}_2)_m - \frac{1}{2} \dim G - \frac{1}{2} \dim(K) + 2 \dim(\mathfrak{k}_M \cap \pi(L_2))_m.$$

Proof. As before, we begin by assuming that $a = 0$.

By restricting to a neighborhood of $f^{-1}(0)$, we may assume that G acts freely. By definition, $G = -\mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{J}_2$ defines a connection $\theta \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ given by $\theta^\xi = \frac{G(\cdot, \xi_M)}{G(\xi_M, \xi_M)}$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. By Lemma 3.6, after apply an exact $B = d(h, \theta)$ transform, we may assume that $h = 0$.

As in the proof of Proposition 3.8, by the definition of generalized moment map $\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df$ is a $\mathcal{J}_1$ invariant subbundle, and $(\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df)|_{f^{-1}(0)}$ is isotropic. Define $\widehat{W} = (\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df)^\perp \cap G(\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df)^\perp \subset TM \oplus T^*M$, and let $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_1$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_2$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ to $\widehat{W}$. Let $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_2)$ be generalized almost Kähler structure on M_0 induced by $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_1$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_2$ under the restriction to $f^{-1}(0)$, isomorphism from $\widehat{W}|_{f^{-1}(0)}$ to $(\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df)|_{f^{-1}(0)}^\perp / (\mathfrak{g}_M \oplus df)|_{f^{-1}(0)}$, and the quotient map from $f^{-1}(0)$ to M_0 .

In Lemma 3.8, we checked that $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1$ is a generalized complex structure.

Let $L_2 \subset TM_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$, $\widehat{L}_2 \subset \widehat{W}_{\mathbb{C}} = \widehat{W} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and $\tilde{L}_2 \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}M_0 \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M_0$ be $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundles of $\mathcal{J}_2$, $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_2$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_2$, respectively. Since $\mathcal{J}_2$ is a generalized complex structure, L_2 is closed under the Courant bracket. Since $G = -\mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{J}_2$, and $\mathcal{J}_1(\mathfrak{g}_M) = df$, $G(\mathfrak{g}_M) = \mathcal{J}_2(df)$ and $G(df) = \mathcal{J}_2(\mathfrak{g}_M)$. Therefore $\widehat{L}_2 = L_2 \cap \widehat{W}_{\mathbb{C}} = L_2 \cap \mathfrak{g}_M^\perp \cap df^\perp$. Therefore, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, $\widehat{L}_2$ is also closed under the Courant bracket. Moreover, since $\tilde{L}_2$ is the image of $\widehat{L}_2$ under the natural restriction and quotient maps, it is also closed under Courant bracket by the same lemmas. Therefore, $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_2)$ is a generalized Kähler structure.

The formulas on types follow directly from Lemma 4.2.

This proves the case $a = 0$. For $a \neq 0$, as in Lemma 3.8 let ω be the Kirrilov-Kostant symplectic form on $\mathcal{O}_{-a}$, and let J be the natural invariant complex structure which is compatible with ω . Let $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_J)$ be the induced generalized Kähler structure on $\mathcal{O}_{-a}$; it has type $(0, \frac{1}{2} \dim(\mathcal{O}_{-a}))$ and inclusion is a generalized moment map for the co-adjoint G action on $\mathcal{O}_{-a}$. Hence $(M \times \mathcal{O}_{-a}, \mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_2 \times \mathcal{J}_J)$ is a generalized Kähler manifold, $\text{type}(\mathcal{J}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_\omega)_{(m,b)} = \text{type}(\mathcal{J}_1)_m$ and $\text{type}(\mathcal{J}_2 \times \mathcal{J}_J)_{(m,b)} = \text{type}(\mathcal{J}_2)_m + \frac{1}{2} \dim(\mathcal{O}_{-a})$ for all $m \in M$, and $\mu_a(x, v) = \mu(x) - v$ is a generalized moment map for the diagonal action of G on $M \times \mathcal{O}_{-a}$. Finally, it is easy to check that the intersection of $\mathfrak{g}_{M \times \mathcal{O}_{-a}}$ with the projection of the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\mathcal{J}_2 \times \mathcal{J}_J$ to $T_{\mathbb{C}}(M \times \mathcal{O}_{-a})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{k}_M \cap \pi(L_2)$. Since M_a can be identified with $\mu_a^{-1}(0)/G$, the result follows from case that $a = 0$. $\square$

Example 4.7. The generalized Kähler quotient of the Hamiltonian generalized Kähler manifold associated to a Hamiltonian Kähler manifold is the generalized Kähler manifold associated to the Kähler quotient.

Example 4.8. Let (M, g, I, J, K) be a hyper Kähler structure, and let ω_I, ω_J and ω_K be the Kähler two forms that correspond to the complex structure I, J and K respectively. As shown in [Gua04], we can construct a generalized Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ as follows:

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{J}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \omega_K & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2}(\omega_I^{-1} - \omega_J^{-1}) \\ \omega_I - \omega_J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\omega_K & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{J}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\omega_K & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2}(\omega_I^{-1} + \omega_J^{-1}) \\ \omega_I + \omega_J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \omega_K & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose there is a S^1 action on M with the fundamental vector field X such that $\iota_X \omega_I = d\mu_I$, $\iota_X \omega_J = d\mu_J$, and $\iota_X \omega_K = d\mu_K$ for some smooth functions μ_I, μ_K , and μ_J . Set $f = \mu_I - \mu_J$. Then

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1 df &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \omega_K & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2}(\omega_I^{-1} - \omega_J^{-1}) \\ \omega_I - \omega_J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\omega_K & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ df \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \omega_K & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2}(\omega_I^{-1} - \omega_J^{-1}) \\ \omega_I - \omega_J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ df \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \omega_K & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -X \\ -d\mu_K \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f + \sqrt{-1} \mu_K$ is a generalized moment map for the circle action on the generalized Kähler manifold (M, J_1, J_2) . Let $\theta = \frac{g(\cdot, X)}{g(X, X)}$, let $B = -d(\mu_K \theta)$,

and let $\mathcal{J}'_i$ be the B-transform of $\mathcal{J}_i$. Then $(\mathcal{J}'_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ is a generalized Kähler structure which satisfies $\mathcal{J}'_1 df = X$ on the level set $f^{-1}(0)$. Assume that S^1 acts freely on $f^{-1}(0)$. Proposition 4.6 then asserts that there is a reduced generalized Kähler structure $(\tilde{J}_1, \tilde{J}_2)$ on the quotient $M_0 = f^{-1}(0)/S^1$.

Assume in addition that 0 is a regular value for the map

$$\mu = (\mu_I, \mu_J, \mu_K) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

Then the hyper-Kähler quotient $S := (\mu_I^{-1}(0) \cap \mu_J^{-1}(0) \cap \mu_K^{-1}(0)) / S^1$ is a codimension two sub-manifold sitting inside M_0 . We have a natural inclusion map $i : S \rightarrow M_0$.

Let $(\tilde{J}_1^S, \tilde{J}_2^S)$ be the generalized Kähler structure on S induced by the quotient hyper-Kähler structure on S . Let $\tilde{L}_i^S$ be the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\tilde{J}_i^S$, and let $\tilde{L}_i$ be the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\tilde{J}_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then we have that $\tilde{J}_i^S = \{X + i^* \alpha \mid X + \alpha \in \tilde{L}_i \cap (T_{\mathbb{C}} S \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M_0)\}$, $i = 1, 2$. The submanifolds of a generalized complex manifold is studied extensively in [BB03]. Using their terminology, we see that $(S, \tilde{J}_i^S)$ is exactly a generalized complex submanifold of $(M_0, \tilde{J}_i)$.

5. CONSTRUCTING BI-HERMITIAN STRUCTURES

In this section we are going to present a simple explicit constructions of bi-Hermitian structure on $\mathbb{CP}^N$, Hirzebruch surfaces, $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown up at an arbitrary number of points, and complex Grassmannians.

We will do this by constructing non-standard generalized Kähler structures on these spaces. Since each of these manifolds can be expressed as a symplectic quotient of $\mathbb{C}^n$, we start with the standard Kähler structure on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Using the deformation theory for generalized complex structures developed in [Gua04], we deform this to another invariant generalized Kähler structure. These techniques are particularly easy and explicit in this very simple example; we do not need to resort to any global analysis. Then we use the quotient construction we developed in Section 4 to construct a generalized Kähler structure on the quotient space which is not the B-transform of a genuine Kähler structure, although in each case the first generalized complex structure is the one induced from the standard symplectic structure. By the connection between generalized Kähler structures and bi-Hermitian structures which was established by Gualtieri [Gua04], and which we explain below, this induces a bi-Hermitian structure on each manifold.

5.1. Review. We begin with a brief review; all the material in this subsection, with the exception of material specifically attributed to other authors, was taken from [Gua04].

Definition 5.1. ([AGG99]) *A bi-Hermitian structure on a manifold M is a triple (g, J_+, J_-) , where g is a Riemannian metric and J_+ and J_- are complex structures*

which are orthogonal (with respect to g), induce the same orientation, and satisfy $J_1(x) \neq \pm J_2(x)$ for some $x \in M$.

Given a generalized Kähler manifold $(M, \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$, let $G = -\mathcal{J}_1 \mathcal{J}_2$ be the associated positive definite metric. Recall that $G^2 = 1$, and let C_+ denote the $+1$ eigenspace of G . Since $C_+ \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ is positive definite and T^*M is isotropic, the natural projection $\pi: C_+ \rightarrow TM$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ descends to a Riemannian metric g on M . Since $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ commute with G , they both preserve C_+ . Therefore, $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ descend to almost complex structures J_+ and J_- on M which are orthogonal (with respect to g). Combining Proposition 6.15 with Remarks 6.13 and 6.14 in [Gua04] we see, (after possibly replacing J_- by $-J_-$)

Proposition 5.2. [Gua04] *Given a generalized Kähler manifold $(M, \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$, the above construction defines a bi-Hermitian structure (g, J_+, J_-) on M exactly if*

- (i) *the generalized Kähler structure is not the B-transform of a genuine Kähler structure on M ;*
- (ii) *At least one of the $\mathcal{J}_i$ has even type.*

Note that, in fact, if $\dim M = 4k$, then either both $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ have odd type, or they both have even type. In contrast, if $\dim M = 4k + 2$, then one must always have odd type whereas the other has even type; therefore, the second condition is empty.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a generalized complex structure on a vector space V . Let $L \subset V_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ be the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of $\mathcal{J}$. Since L is maximal isotropic and $L \cap \bar{L} = \{0\}$, we can (and will) use the metric to identify L^* with $\bar{L}$.

Given $\epsilon \in \wedge^2 L^*$, define

$$L_{\epsilon} = \{Y + \iota_Y \epsilon \mid Y \in L\}.$$

Then L_{ϵ} is maximal isotropic, and $L_{\epsilon} \cap \bar{L}_{\epsilon} = \{0\}$ if and only if the endomorphism

$$(5.1) \quad A_{\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{\epsilon} \\ \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix} : L \oplus \bar{L} \rightarrow L \oplus \bar{L}$$

is invertible. If it is invertible, there exists a unique generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_{\epsilon}$ on V whose $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace is L_{ϵ} . Note that A_{ϵ} is always invertible for ϵ sufficiently small.

Now let $(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2)$ be a generalized Kähler structure on V . Let L_1 and L_2 denote the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspaces of $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$, respectively. Then $L_1 = (L_1 \cap L_2) \oplus (L_1 \cap \bar{L}_2)$ and $L_2 = (L_1 \cap L_2) \oplus (\bar{L}_1 \cap L_2)$. Thus $\epsilon \in C^{\infty}(\wedge^2 \bar{L}_2)$ fixes $\mathcal{J}_1$ if and only if ϵ takes $L_1 \cap L_2$ to $L_1 \cap \bar{L}_2$, i.e., if and only if ϵ is an element of $C^{\infty}((\bar{L}_1 \cap \bar{L}_2) \otimes (L_1 \cap \bar{L}_2))$.

We are now ready to state the condition for L_{ϵ} to be closed under the Courant bracket, as proved in [LWP97], following the presentation in [Gua04].

We begin with two definitions. Although both can be defined more generally for any Lie algebroid, we will only state them for the case which interests us.

Definition 5.3. Let $L \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ be an isotropic subbundle which is closed under the Courant bracket and let $\pi: L \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ denote the natural projection. The **Schouten bracket** is the $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear map

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: C^\infty(\wedge^p L) \times C^\infty(\wedge^q L) \rightarrow C^\infty(\wedge^{p+q-1} L)$$

which is characterized by the following two formulas:

$$[X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_p, Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_q] = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} [X_i, Y_j] \wedge X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{X}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{Y}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_q$$

for any X_i and Y_j in $C^\infty(L)$, and

$$[Y, f] = -[f, Y] = \pi(Y)f$$

for any $Y \in C^\infty(L)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Definition 5.4. Let $L \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ be an isotropic subbundle which is closed under the Courant bracket and let $\pi: L \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ denote the natural projection. The **Lie algebroid derivative** is a first order linear differential operator from $C^\infty(\wedge^* L)$ to $C^\infty(\wedge^{*+1} L)$ defined by

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} d_L \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_k) &= \sum_i (-1)^i \pi(X_i) \sigma(X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_k) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \sigma([X_i, X_j], X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_k), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma \in C^\infty(\wedge^k L^*)$ and $X_i \in C^\infty(L)$.

Example 5.5. If L is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspace of the generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_J$ associated to a complex structure J , then d_L is $\bar{\partial}$.

We will need the following special case of the theorem from [LWP97].

Theorem 5.6. Let $L \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ be a maximal isotropic subbundle so that $L \cap \bar{L} = \{0\}$ which is closed under the Courant bracket. For any $\epsilon \in \wedge^2 \bar{L}$,

$$L_\epsilon = \{Y + \iota_Y \epsilon \mid Y \in L\}$$

is closed under Courant bracket if and only if ϵ satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation:

$$d_L \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} [\epsilon, \epsilon] = 0.$$

5.2. Examples. Now we are ready to turn to specific examples.

Example 5.7. Structures on $\mathbb{C}^n$

We will begin by deforming the generalized Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_f)$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ which is induced by the standard genuine Kähler structure (ω, J) . (See Example 4.3). Note that while our ideas for deforming this structure are taken entirely from [Gua04], and we use many of his observations, the deformation is much easier and more explicit in this very simple case than in general. In particular, while we use many observations from that paper, our construction does not rely on any of the deeper theorems.

Since

$$\overline{L_1} \cap \overline{L_2} = \{Y - \sqrt{-1} \iota_Y \omega \mid Y \in T_{1,0}(M)\} \text{ and } L_1 \cap \overline{L_2} = \{Z + \sqrt{-1} \iota_Z \omega \mid Z \in T_{1,0}(M)\},$$

for any global sections Y and Z of $T_{1,0}(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= Y \wedge Z + \iota_Y \omega \wedge \iota_Z \omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (Y - \sqrt{-1} \iota_Y \omega) \wedge (Z + \sqrt{-1} \iota_Z \omega) - \frac{1}{2} (Z - \sqrt{-1} \iota_Z \omega) \wedge (Y + \sqrt{-1} \iota_Y \omega) \end{aligned}$$

lies in $\mathbb{C}^\infty((\overline{L_1} \cap \overline{L_2}) \otimes (L_1 \cap L_2))$.

If we restrict to any open bounded subset U of $\mathbb{C}^n$, then after multiplying ϵ by a sufficiently small positive number, A_ϵ will be invertible. Thus ϵ deforms $\mathcal{J}_f$ to a new generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}_\epsilon$ on U while keeping $\mathcal{J}_\omega$ fixed. Moreover, $\pi(L_\epsilon)$ is spanned by $T_{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n$, Y , and Z . Thus, $\text{type}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon)_z = n - 2$ wherever $Y \wedge Z \neq 0$, and n at every other point.

Finally, the following lemma gives a simple condition which guarantees that L_ϵ is closed under the Courant bracket, and hence that $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ is a generalized Kähler structure.

Lemma 5.8. *Assume that there exists a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ so that*

$$\epsilon = \sum_{i,j \in I} F_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{i,j \in I} F_{ij}(z) d\bar{z}_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

If F_{ij} is holomorphic and $\frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial z_k} = 0$ for all i, j and $k \in I$, then L_ϵ is closed under the Courant bracket.

Proof. Since the Lie algebroid derivative d_{L_j} is $\bar{\partial}$ and $F(z)$ is holomorphic, $d_{L_j} \epsilon = 0$. Hence, by Theorem 5.6, the deformed generalized almost Kähler structure will be a generalized Kähler structure exactly if $[\epsilon, \epsilon] = 0$. This follows from the calculation below:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[F_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, F_{kl}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l} \right] \\ &= F_{kl} \left(-\frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l} + \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial z_l} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \right) \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + F_{ij} \left(-\frac{\partial F_{kl}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \frac{\partial F_{kl}}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[F_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, F_{kl} d\bar{z}_k \wedge d\bar{z}_l \right] \\ &= F_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial F_{kl}}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \frac{\partial F_{kl}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \right) \wedge d\bar{z}_k \wedge d\bar{z}_l = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$[F_{ij} d\bar{z}_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j, F_{kl} d\bar{z}_k \wedge d\bar{z}_l] = 0.$$

□

Suppose that a compact Lie group G acts on $(\mathbb{C}^n, \omega, J)$ with proper moment map $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Consider $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ so that G acts freely on $\Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$; let $M_\alpha = \Phi^{-1}(\alpha)/G$ denote the symplectic quotient.

Assume that there exists a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ so that

$$\epsilon = \sum_{i,j \in I} F_{ij}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{i,j \in I} F_{ij}(z) d\bar{z}_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

Moreover, assume that F_{ij} is holomorphic and $\frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial z_k} = 0$ for all i, j and k in I . Since $\mathcal{O}_\alpha$ is bounded, by multiplying ϵ by a sufficiently small constant we may assume that A_ϵ is invertible on $\mathcal{O}_\alpha$. Then, applying Lemma 5.8, $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ is an invariant generalized Kähler structure with generalized moment map Φ . Hence, by Proposition 4.6, there is a natural generalized Kähler Structure $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\omega, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon)$ on the symplectic quotient M_α . Moreover, $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\omega$ has type 0; in fact, it is the generalized complex structure associated to the usual symplectic structure on M_α . Hence, condition (ii) of Proposition 5.2 is automatically satisfied. So, by Proposition 5.2, $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\omega, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon)$ will induce a bi-Hermitian structure on the reduced space as long as it is not the B-transform of a genuine Kähler structure. To check this, it is enough to check that $\text{type}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon)_{[z]} \neq \frac{1}{2} \dim M_\alpha$ for at least some $[z] \in M_\alpha$. Since $\text{type}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon)_z < N$ for generic $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, by Proposition 4.6, it is enough to check that $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \cap \pi(L_\epsilon) = \{0\}$ at generic points.

Example 5.9. ($\mathbb{CP}^N$ for $N \geq 2$)

We now construct a bi-Hermitian structure on $\mathbb{CP}^N$ for $N \geq 2$.

Let S^1 act on $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ via

$$\lambda \cdot (z_0, \dots, z_N) = (\lambda z_0, \dots, \lambda z_N).$$

Note that this action preserves the Kähler structure (ω, J) . Moreover,

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} |z_i|^2$$

is a moment map, S^1 acts freely on $\Phi^{-1}(1)$, and the reduced space $M_1 = \Phi^{-1}(1)/S^1$ is $\mathbb{CP}^N$.

Let

$$\epsilon = z_0^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_0^2 d\bar{z}_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_2.$$

After multiplying ϵ by a sufficiently small positive constant, A_ϵ is invertible, so ϵ deforms $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_f)$ to a new generalized almost Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$, so that $\text{type}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon)_z = N + 1$ if $z_0 = 0$ and is $N - 1$ otherwise. Since z_0^2 is holomorphic and $\frac{\partial z_0^2}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial z_0^2}{\partial z_2} = 0$, by Lemma 5.8 is in fact $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ is a generalize Kähler structure.

Since ϵ is S^1 invariant, $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ is also invariant. Hence, by Proposition 4.6, there is a natural generalized Kähler structure $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\omega, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon)$ on the quotient space $\mathbb{CP}^N = \Phi^{-1}(1)/S^1$.

Note that fundamental vector generated by the action is

$$X = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_i \left(z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \bar{z}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right),$$

and that X does not lie in $\pi(L_\epsilon)$ at any point of $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, where L_ϵ is the $\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundle of $\mathcal{J}_\epsilon$. It follows immediately from Proposition 4.6 that $\text{type}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\omega)_{[z]} = 0$ for all $[z] \in \mathbb{CP}^N$, whereas $\text{type}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon)_{[z]} = N$ if $z_0 = 0$, otherwise $\text{type}(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon)_{[z]} = N - 2$. By Proposition 5.2 $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\omega, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon)$ gives us a bi-Hermitian structure on $\mathbb{CP}^N$.

In the case of $N = 2$, the above construction actually gives us a $SU(2)$ -invariant bi-Hermitian structure. Note that the standard action of $SU(2)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ can be extended to $\mathbb{C}^3$ by letting $SU(2)$ act on the first component trivially. This $SU(2)$ action commutes with the standard S^1 action on $\mathbb{C}^3$ and therefore descends to a $SU(2)$ action on $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Since both ω and ϵ are $SU(2)$ -invariant, the deformed generalized Kähler pair $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ is $SU(2)$ -invariant as well. Since the $SU(2)$ action on $\mathbb{C}^3$ commutes with the standard S^1 action, we conclude that the quotient generalized Kähler structure must be $SU(2)$ -invariant.

Example 5.10. Toric varieties

We will now construct bi-Hermitian structures on many, but not all, toric varieties, including all Hirzebruch surfaces and the blow up of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ at arbitrarily many points.

Let an n dimensional torus T with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ act on a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) with moment map $\Psi: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$. Let $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the moment polytope. Let $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N \in \mathfrak{t}$ be the primitive outward normals to the facets of Δ . Define $p: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ by $p(e_i) = \eta_i$. Let K be the kernel of the associated map from $(S^1)^N$ to $\mathfrak{t}$. Let K act on $\mathbb{C}^N$ via its inclusion into $(S^1)^N$; let $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ denote the resulting moment map. There exists some $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ so that K acts freely on $\Phi^{-1}(\xi)$ and M is equivariantly symplectomorphic to the reduced space

$$M_\xi = \Phi^{-1}(\xi)/K.$$

Now assume that there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ so that $\alpha(\eta_1) = \alpha(\eta_2) = -1$, but $\alpha(\eta_i) \geq 0$ for all other i . Note that this condition is not satisfied for all toric symplectic manifolds, even in two dimensions. For example, it is not satisfied for $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ blown up at the four fixed points. On the other hand, it is satisfied in many cases, including Hirzebruch surfaces and $\mathbb{CP}^2$ blown up at an arbitrary number of points as long as one picks those points carefully, for example, blow up in a sequence of points so that each point lies on $[0, z_1, z_2]$.

Since $\alpha(\eta_i) \geq 0$ for all $i \geq 3$, we may define

$$\epsilon = \left(\prod_{i \geq 3} z_i^{\alpha(\eta_i)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \left(\prod_{i \geq 3} z_i^{\alpha(\eta_i)} \right) d\bar{z}_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_2.$$

After multiplying ϵ by a sufficiently small positive constant, A_ϵ is invertible, so ϵ deforms $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_1)$ to a new generalized almost Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ on $\mathbb{C}^N$ so that $\text{type}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon)_z = N-2$ if $z_j \neq 0$ for all j so that $\alpha(\eta_j) > 0$, and otherwise is equal to N . Since $\prod_{i \geq 3} z_i^{\alpha(\eta_i)}$ is holomorphic and annihilated by $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$, by Lemma 5.8, $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ is a generalized Kähler structure. By construction, ϵ is K -invariant, so $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ descends to a generalized Kähler structure $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\omega, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon)$ on the reduced space M_ξ . Finally, for any $\beta \in \mathfrak{k}$, let β_i denote the i 'th coordinate of its natural inclusion into $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then

$$\beta_{\mathbb{C}^N} = \sum_i \frac{\sqrt{-1} \beta_i}{2} \left(z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \bar{z}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right).$$

Since our assumptions rule out $\eta_1 = -\eta_2$, $\beta_i \neq 0$ for some i which is not 1 or 2. Hence, $\beta_{\mathbb{C}^N} \notin \pi(L_\epsilon)$. Thus, we get a bi-Hermitian structure.

Example 5.11. Grassmannians

Consider the natural action of $G = \text{U}(n)$ on $M = \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ with the moment map $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by

$$(5.3) \quad \Phi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m \begin{pmatrix} z_{j1} \bar{z}_{j1} & z_{j1} \bar{z}_{j2} & \cdots & z_{j1} \bar{z}_{jn} \\ z_{j2} \bar{z}_{j1} & z_{j2} \bar{z}_{j2} & \cdots & z_{j2} \bar{z}_{jn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{jn} \bar{z}_{j1} & z_{jn} \bar{z}_{j2} & \cdots & z_{jn} \bar{z}_{jn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, we have labeled the coordinates $z_{11}, \dots, z_{1n}, \dots, z_{m1}, \dots, z_{mn}$, and identified $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with $n \times n$ matrices A such that $A = \bar{A}^t$. Let $\mathcal{O}_I$ be the coadjoint orbit containing the identity matrix I . Note that G acts freely on $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_I)$, and furthermore that the reduced space $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_I)/G$ is the Grassmannian of n planes in $\mathbb{C}^m$.

Of course, $\sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,1} \overline{z_{i,1}}$ is $U(n)$ invariant. For the same reason, $\sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,1} d\overline{z_{i,2}}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,1} d\overline{z_{i,3}}$ are $U(n)$ invariant. Therefore,

$$\epsilon = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i,2}} \right) \wedge \left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i,3}} \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,1} d\overline{z_{i,2}} \right) \wedge \left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,1} d\overline{z_{i,3}} \right)$$

is also $U(n)$ invariant.

After multiplying ϵ by a sufficiently small positive constant A_ϵ is invertible, so it deforms $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_j)$ to a new generalized almost Kähler structure $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ so that $\text{type}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon)_z = nm - 2$ unless $z_{i,1} = 0$ for all i , in which case it is nm . By Lemma 5.8, $(\mathcal{J}_\omega, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon)$ is in fact a generalized Kähler structure.

Moreover, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{g}_M \cap L_\epsilon = \{0\}$. Therefore, this gives rise to a bi-Hermitian structure on the Grassmannian.

REFERENCES

- [AGG99] V. Apostolov, P. Gauduchon, and G. Grantcharov, *Bihermitian structure on complex surfaces*. Proc. London Math. Soc., 79(3): 414-428, 1999
- [AGG05] V. Apostolov, P. Gauduchon, and G. Grantcharov, *correction to the paper Bihermitian structures on complex surfaces*, preprint
- [Gua04] Marco Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, math.DG/0411221
- [AB04] Mohammed Abouzaid, Mitya Boyarchenko, *Local structure of Generalized complex manifolds*, math.DG/0412084, 2004
- [BB03] Oren Ben-Bassat, Mitya Boyarchenko, *Submanifolds of generalized complex manifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. 2, no. 3 (2004), 309-355
- [Cr04] Marius Crainic, *Generalized complex structures and Lie brackets*, math.DG/04112097
- [GHR84] Jr. S. Gates, C. Hull, and M. Rocek, *Twisted multiplets and new supersymmetric non-linear σ -model* Nuclear Phys. B. 248(1) 157- 186, 1984.
- [LWP97] Z.J. Liu, A. Weinstein, and P. Xu, *Manin Triple for Lie bialgebroids*, J. Diff. Geom. 45: 547-574, 1997
- [H02] N. Hitchin, *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, math.DG/0209099
- [H05] Nigel Hitchin, *Instantons, Poisson structures and generalized Kahler geometry*, math.DG/0503432
- [H91] Nigel Hitchin, *Hyper-Kahler manifolds* Séminar Bourbaki, 44ème année, 1991-92, n° 748

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, CANADA, M5S2E4, YILIN@MATH.TORONTO.EDU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN,
URBANA, IL, USA, 61801, STOLMAN@MATH.UIUC.EDU